

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/901,740	(	07/09/2001	Robert Rogenmoser	5580-03100	5580-03100 8445		
34399	7590	08/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GARLICK	GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON LLP				CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D		
P.O. BOX 1				ARTIBUT	DA DED NI DA DED		
AUSTIN, T	X 78716	-0727		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				2816			

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Me
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/901,740	ROGENMOSER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry D. Cunningham	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  io) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	June 2004.		
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		·	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 20-25 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ol>		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 June 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Béchade (USPN 5,789,966 - cited by Applicant). Béchade discloses, in Fig. 2, a circuit comprising: "a first passgate (I0 and I1)"; "a first default circuit (22 and 28) in 20')"; "a second passgate (I2 and I3)"; "a second default circuit (22 and 28 (28 not shown, but disclosed) in 20'')"; and "a output logic circuit (24 and 26)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

With respect to claim 25, it is clear from the reference to Béchade, particularly from the claims, that any number of passgate circuits is intended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Béchade. The above discussed circuit to Béchade does not expressly disclose using a "NOR gate". However, it is notoriously well known in the art to manufacture an OR gate, as shown for 28, using a NOR gate and an inverter. Such a structure is known to have simple construction with low threshold loss. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a NOR gate with an inverter for OR gate 28 of Béchade to obtain the expected advantage of simple construction with low threshold loss.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant argues concerning the new phrase "a wide multiplexer having a wide bit-width input, but in which the response of the multiplexer is achieved with minimal time delay". Examiner initially responds by stating that both the terms "wide" and "minimal" lack relativity. Clearly, inverters are generally considered high-speed and, thus, would provide "minimal time delay". Further, nowhere is the specification seen to provide an express and specific definition for the phrase "wide bit-width input". Examiner contends that the broad disclosure of Béchade, showing minimum of two bits for each signal, would more than reasonably be considered to be a "wide bit-width input".

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,740

Art Unit: 2816

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC

August 2, 2004

Terry D. Cunningham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816